



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/820,451

03/28/2001

Jason Alexander Cu

2061P/SVL9-2001-0001US1

6671

7590

10/26/2007

EXAMINER

SAWYER LAW GROUP

P.O. Box 51418

Palo Alto, CA 94303

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 10/26/2007

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief
(37 CFR 41.37)**

Application No.

09/820,451

Applicant(s)

CU ET AL.

Examiner

Susan Y. Chen

Art Unit

2161

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--


The Appeal Brief filed on 01 August 2007 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an amended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer.

EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

1. ☐ The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. ☐ The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3. ☐ At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4. ☒ (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. ☐ The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi)).
6. ☐ The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7. ☐ The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner **and relied upon by appellant in the appeal**, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).
10. ☒ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

See Continuation Sheet.


APU MOFIA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 10. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items): The appeal brief submitted on 01/08/2007 seems to map the claimed subject matter of each independent claims with the old version of specification. It is noted that Page 8, lines 10-34 of the instant specification has been amended (pls. Referred to the latest version accepted by the Office on Oct. 06, 2003) However, the mapping of the claimed subject matters are not to the latest version, for example, appellant mapped the claimed subject matters of claim 1 to pg. 8, lns. 17-19, these lines were excerpted as following:

"argument for the generalized scalar function is provided to the corresponding conventional column function in the column environment. In other words, the generalized scalar function may call the corresponding conventional column function and provide to the corresponding" which leading to not completed sentences. Thus, it is advised to map every independently claimed subject matter when refers to Page 8, lines 10 at seq. to complete sentence throughout the Brief. Furthermore, a typing error is noted at page 6, line 10, the word "lat" should be changed to "last" .